Should the Patella be Resurfaced during Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty?

Nazanin Kermanshahi BS; Nicolaas C Budhiparama, MD, PhD; Mahmood Wahab MD, Claudia Arias MD, Weihua Xu MD, Del Schutte MD, Ping Yue Li MD, Gwo-Chin Lee, Javad Parvizi MD

Response/Recommendation: While the literature supports that patellar resurfacing (PR) during total knee arthroplasty (TKA) results in fewer reoperations, patients without patellar resurfacing (NPR) have better KSS total and functional scores at various follow-up time periods. NPR was also associated with shorter operation times. These results need to be evaluated cautiously, as they were reported across various follow-up intervals and implant designs. Future studies are required to assess the implications of patellar resurfacing for surgical and patient-reported outcomes.

Level of Evidence: Strong

Rationale:

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) involves the manipulation of the femur and the tibia, but the decision to resurface the patella remains controversial. In the past decade, a trend towards an unsurfaced patella has occurred in the United States (US), from 4.1% in 2012 to 10.3% in 2021 [1]. However, fewer patellae are resurfaced internationally, with only 35% of primary TKAs from all registries outside the US resurfacing in 2010[2]. In a 2023 article, the AAOS strongly recommended the lack of difference between PR and NPR in TKA [3]. Numerous articles have discussed or even compared PR to NPR using small, single-institutional prospective and retrospective studies, case series, and meta-analyses. However, a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials assessing the effectiveness of patellar resurfacing by comparing various preoperative and postoperative outcomes and patient-reported outcomes has been lacking.

When analyzing 18 articles, PR was associated with fewer reoperations when comparing 2,582 RPs to 2,599 NPRs with an odds ratio (OR) of 0.70 [0.59, 0.83] (P < 0.0001). However, the study of six articles at 1-year follow-up revealed that both the KSS test and the KSS function test significantly favored NPR, with a mean difference of 1.22 [0.40, 2.05] (P = 0.004) and 1.58 [0.42, 2.73] (P = 0.007), respectively. Two articles revealed a similar trend at a 5-year follow-up, with a mean difference of 1.84 [0.09, 3.59] (P 0.04) and 2.28 [1.18, 3.38] (P < 0.0001), respectively. Other follow-up intervals (e.g., less than 1 year, 3 years, and 10 years) showed inconsistent results when comparing the KSS function to the KSS test. However, between the two groups, anterior knee pain OR 0.60 [0.33, 1.07] (P = 0.69), the VAS pain score mean difference (P = 0.13) and satisfaction OR 1.51 [0.78, 2.92] (P = 0.22) were not significantly different between the two groups.

The decision to resurface the patella or not may not be binary. Currently, patellar resurfacing is performed with various patellar components and techniques, which could affect the outcome of

surgery. For example, a study showed that an anatomic patellar component could have more normal kinematic patterns with a mobile-bearing posterior stabilized (PS) TKA as opposed to a fixed-bearing PS TKA, while subjects with a dome patella could achieve similar kinematics regardless of TKA type [4]. Another study showed that the patellofemoral contact stresses do not change significantly after NPR TKA, but increased significantly after PR with both the domeshaped and the conforming components [5]. Although PR has shown to reduce the rate of revision in both minimally stabilized (MS) and PS patella, MS knees with PR have the lowest rate of revision [6]. Additionally, new procedures such as patella electrocauterization and denervation can be employed to address some of the complications related to TKA [7, 8]. The diversity in patient demographics should also be considered. Although selective patellar resurfacing has been mentioned in the recent literature, an updated investigation of the factors influencing surgeon's decision on selective patellar resurfacing has been lacking [9.10]. Some studies have favored routine resurfacing in selected groups, including females, patients with inflammatory arthritis or obesity, and those who find stair climbing imperative [11]. However, no official guidelines are established. These factors may indicate that the decision to resurface the patella or not could be made selectively by intraoperative examination of the joint and addressing the patient's needs.

References

- 1. Hegde V, Stambough JB, Levine BR, Springer BD. Highlights of the 2022 American Joint Replacement Registry Annual Report. Arthroplast Today. 2023;21:101137. Published 2023 Apr 25. doi:10.1016/j.artd.2023.101137
- 2. Fraser JF, Spangehl MJ. International Rates of Patellar Resurfacing in Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty, 2004-2014. J Arthroplasty. 2017;32(1):83-86. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2016.06.010
- 3. AAOS updates clinical practice guideline for surgical management of osteoarthritis of the knee. AAOS. Accessed June 30, 2024. https://www.aaos.org/aaos-home/newsroom/press-releases/aaos-updates-clinical-practice-guideline-for-surgical-management-of-osteoarthritis-of-the-knee/.
- 4. Smith LA, LaCour MT, Dennis DA, Komistek RD. Anatomic vs Dome Patella: Is There a Difference Between Fixed- vs Mobile-Bearing Posterior-Stabilized Total Knee Arthroplasties?. J Arthroplasty. 2021;36(11):3773-3780. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2021.07.006
- 5. Yu Z, Cai H, Liu Z. Factors that impact the patellofemoral contact stress in the TKA: a review. Arthroplasty. 2023;5(1):44. Published 2023 Aug 5. doi:10.1186/s42836-023-00197-0
- Coory JA, Tan KG, Whitehouse SL, Hatton A, Graves SE, Crawford RW. The Outcome
 of Total Knee Arthroplasty With and Without Patellar Resurfacing up to 17 Years: A
 Report From the Australian Orthopaedic Association National Joint Replacement
 Registry. J Arthroplasty. 2020;35(1):132-138. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2019.08.007

- 7. Wang Y, Feng W, Zang J, Gao H. Effect of Patellar Denervation on Anterior Knee Pain and Knee Function in Total Knee Arthroplasty without Patellar Resurfacing: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Orthop Surg. 2020;12(6):1859-1869. doi:10.1111/os.12815
- 8. Budhiparama NC, Hidayat H, Novito K, Utomo DN, Lumban-Gaol I, Nelissen RGHH. Does Circumferential Patellar Denervation Result in Decreased Knee Pain and Improved Patient-reported Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Nonresurfaced, Simultaneous Bilateral TKA?. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2020;478(9):2020-2033. doi:10.1097/CORR.0000000000001035
- 9. Schindler OS. The controversy of patellar resurfacing in total knee arthroplasty: Ibisne in medio tutissimus?. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2012;20(7):1227-1244. doi:10.1007/s00167-012-1985-7
- 10. Vertullo CJ, Graves SE, Cuthbert AR, Lewis PL. The Effect of Surgeon Preference for Selective Patellar Resurfacing on Revision Risk in Total Knee Replacement: An Instrumental Variable Analysis of 136,116 Procedures from the Australian Orthopaedic Association National Joint Replacement Registry. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2019;101(14):1261-1270. doi:10.2106/JBJS.18.01350
- 11. Benazzo F, Perticarini L, Jannelli E, Ivone A, Ghiara M, Rossi SMP. Controversy: supporting patellar resurfacing in total knee arthroplasty do it. EFORT Open Rev. 2020;5(11):785-792. Published 2020 Nov 13. doi:10.1302/2058-5241.5.190075